

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	FARINA ET AL.
10/037,512	
Examiner	Art Unit
Elizabeth Quan	1743

**All Participants:**(1) Elizabeth Quan.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Leland Johnson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 December 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested removing "having" from "having also having" from the 5th line of claim 1 of the preliminary amendment filed 6/11/2003. Attorney agreed with suggestion. Examiner stated that the application will be in condition for allowance upon final approval from the supervisory patent examiner.